



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PETITION FOR ENTRY OF AFTER FINAL AMENDMENT FOR WHICH ENTRY
WAS REFUSED

APPLICANTS: Birkhoelzer et al. GROUP ART UNIT: 2152
SERIAL NO.: 09/992,974 EXAMINER: Ramsey Refai
FILED: November 19, 2001 CONFIRMATION NO.: 7671
TITLE: "MEDICAL SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE WITH A
WORKSTATION AND A CALL SYSTEM"

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

S I R:

In response to the Final Rejection dated September 15, 2005, Applicants filed Amendment "C" under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 on January 17, 2006. In an Advisory Action dated February 1, 2006, it was stated that the Amendment will not be entered because it does not place the application in condition for allowance, because "newly amended claims contain features not previously presented and would therefore require further search and consideration by the Examiner." This Advisory Action was rendered in the name of the above-noted Examiner, but was signed by the Examiner's SPE.

The language added to the claims in Amendment "C" did nothing more than insert "medical" or "examination" at a few locations, so that the complete term "medical examination images" is used consistently throughout the claims. No other change in the claims was made in Amendment "C". These changes were made in response to a specific rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph on this point that was made in the aforementioned Final Rejection. Moreover, the term

AT
Do NOT ENTER
3/6/06